

Embodied Team Intelligence in Multi-Robot Systems

Doctoral Consortium

Esmail Seraj

Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, GA, United States of America
eseraj3@gatech.edu

ABSTRACT

High-performing human teams leverage intelligent and efficient communication and coordination strategies to collaboratively maximize their joint utility. Inspired by teaming behaviors among humans, I seek to develop computational methods for synthesizing intelligent communication and coordination strategies for collaborative multi-robot systems. I leverage both classical model-based control and planning approaches as well as data-driven methods such as Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) to provide several contributions towards enabling emergent cooperative teaming behavior across both homogeneous and heterogeneous (including agents with different capabilities) robot teams. In future work, I aim to investigate efficient ways to incorporate humans' teaming strategies for robot teams and directly learn team coordination policies from human experts.

KEYWORDS

Multi-Agent Systems; Distributed Control and Planning; Collaborative Decision Making; MARL; Heterogeneous Teaming

ACM Reference Format:

Esmail Seraj. 2022. Embodied Team Intelligence in Multi-Robot Systems: Doctoral Consortium. In *Proc. of the 21st International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2022), Online, May 9–13, 2022*, IFAAMAS, 3 pages.

1 INTRODUCTION

While multi-robot systems are capable of executing time-sensitive, complex, and large-scale problems, it is challenging to efficiently coordinate such systems and to optimize the collaborative behavior among robots. Communication is a key necessity to achieve an effective coordinated policy among agents. This process, in fact, emulates high-performing human teams where communication is leveraged to build team cognition and maintain shared mental models to improve team effectiveness [7, 9].

In tackling the problem of designing or learning multi-agent coordination strategies through end-to-end models, I specifically focus my studies around three major problems that are less addressed in prior work: (1) heterogeneous teaming, (2) complex multi-faceted objective(s), and (3) *restless* and dynamic environments. In the following, I describe each of these challenges.

Heterogeneity in robots' characteristics and roles are introduced to leverage the relative merits of different agents' capabilities [8, 11]. A group of heterogeneous robots that are collaborating on a number of co-dependent tasks to accomplish an overarching mission form

a *composite robot team* [1, 11, 15]. Due to inherently different state- and action-spaces of agents in a composite team, communication, coordination, and consequently collaboration is not straightforward and requires proper considerations to model the interactions among heterogeneous agents. Additionally, such heterogeneity in a composite team implicitly entails a multi-faceted team objective such that several disjoint and sometimes competing objectives need to be successively and actively carried out to successfully accomplish a mission. For instance, such multi-faceted team objectives occur when a team of surveillance robots is required to simultaneously monitor a wildfire while providing their human collaborators on the ground with online information regarding their proximity to the fire [12]. Such multi-agent coordination problem becomes even more challenging when robots have to collaborate in a dynamic environment (e.g., a propagating wildfire) since dynamic environments are *restless*; meaning regardless of robots' collective actions, the states of the environment continually change [11, 12].

2 MODEL-BASED MULTI-AGENT PLANNING AND CONTROL METHODS

To enable a highly efficient and intelligent team behavior, in Seraj and Gombolay [12], I design a low-level control strategy toward a human-centered robot coordination. Such a system is desired in a variety of applications; as an example, deploying a fleet of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) to actively monitor a propagating wildfire in support of human firefighters on the ground. In [12], I propose a decentralized control framework that leverages a model-predictive mechanism to coordinate a UAV team for tracking the moving firefronts while simultaneously enabling the firefighters to receive online information regarding their time-varying proximity to fire. To facilitate our multi-faceted objective, we develop a dual-criterion objective function based on Kalman uncertainty residual and weighted multi-agent consensus protocol. Our simulated evaluations as well as physical robot experiments in a multi-robot testbed demonstrated efficacy of our framework and a significant team coordination boost over prior model-based and RL-based methods.

An issue that we faced in [12] was that a connected communication graph was required at all times for the control architecture to work properly. The team's communication network, however, may be disconnected at times and its links may have varying strengths due to environment constraints. In Seraj et al. [10], we tackle this problem by designing a model-reference multi-agent adaptive controller that achieves team convergence even for a network of robots with a disconnected communication graph. We derive an adaptive control law for a leader-follower networked system that provably converges to mimic any desired network structure even though the real communication topology remains unknown to the robots.

Proc. of the 21st International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2022), P. Faliszewski, V. Mascardi, C. Pelachaud, M.E. Taylor (eds.), May 9–13, 2022, Online. © 2022 International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (www.ifaamas.org). All rights reserved.

My works in [10] and [12] enable adaptive, decentralized, and multi-objective controllers to coordinate a team of robots at the low-level control input to collectively perform active target tracking and field monitoring tasks. A natural next step then is to study the team coordination and collaboration strategies at the high-level planning/scheduling and decision-making stages. I studied the problem of coordinated planning of a multi-UAV team for cooperative surveillance and tracking of a restless environment in [13] (currently under peer-review in JAAMAS). In Seraj et al. [13], I utilized a similar model-predictive mechanism as in [12] to enable UAVs with the ability to reason about their cooperation plan for collaborative surveillance through actively estimating the changing states of their environment. Particularly, we consider safety-critical and time-sensitive scenarios where only a limited number of UAVs are available for allocation. A central contribution of our work in [13] is a set of analytical temporal and tracking-error bounds that allow UAVs to enable probabilistically-guaranteed coordination in tracking dynamic targets. Our quantitative evaluations validate the performance of our method accumulating 7.5× and 9.0× smaller tracking-error than two model-based and RL benchmarks.

Next, in an attempt to simultaneously tackle both the high-level planning and the low-level control stages of the coordination hierarchy, in Seraj et al. [11], I develop an efficient hierarchical coordination framework for a composite robot team composed of *perception*-only and *action*-only agents. In a *perception-action* composite team, *perception* agents are first tasked to explore an unknown environment to find an initial set of dynamic targets. Estimated target-states are then communicated to *action* agents (unable to sense) to perform a specific manipulation on those targets [1, 11, 14, 15]. Accordingly, agents in such composite teams can inherently have different state, action, and observation spaces and yet, must still coordinate efficiently to cooperatively accomplish their mission [2, 14]. My proposed framework in [11] consists of two modules: (1) a Multi-Agent State-Action-Reward-Time-State-Action (MA-SARTSA) algorithm under partially observable Semi-MDPs as the high-level decision-making module to enable *perception* agents to learn to surveil in a restless environment with unknown number of dynamic targets and (2) a low-level coordinated control module that ensures probabilistically-guaranteed support for *action* agents. Our empirical and physical experiments show that our method enables effective collaboration in a *perception-action* composite team to accomplish complex missions, such as aerial wildfire fighting.

3 LEARNING END-TO-END MULTI-AGENT COORDINATION POLICIES

As a next step to my previous studies, I made several contributions towards leveraging data-driven approaches to develop end-to-end differentiable models for learning coordination strategies.

High-performing human teams benefit from communication to build and maintain shared mental models to improve team effectiveness. However, typical communication patterns across human teams widely differ based on the responsibility or role the human assumes. Inspired by heterogeneous communication patterns across human teams, in [14], we propose Heterogeneous Policy Networks (HetNet) to learn efficient and diverse communication models for coordinating cooperative heterogeneous robot teams. The key to

our approach is the design of heterogeneous graph attention networks for an end-to-end communication learning model with a differentiable, binarized encoder-decoder channel to account for the heterogeneity of inter-class messages. HetNet enables “translating” the encoded messages into a shared, intermediate language among agents of a composite team, such as the *perception-action* teams described in Section 2. Our binarized communication model in Seraj et al. [14] achieves 200× reduction in the communication bandwidth over the best performing baseline while also setting a new SOTA in team performance, achieving an 8.1% to 434.7% performance improvement over baselines and across domains.

In addition to communication, individuals in high-performing human teams also benefit from the theory of mind [3] and making strategic decisions by recursively reasoning about the actions (strategies) of other human members [4]. Such hierarchical rationalization alongside with communication facilitate meaningful and strategic cooperation in human teams. Inspired by this behavior in strategic human teams, in [6], we propose a novel information-theoretic, fully-decentralized cooperative MARL framework, called InfoPG, where agents iteratively rationalize their action-decisions based on their teammates’ actions. We study cooperative MARL under the assumption of bounded rational agents and leverage action-conditional policies into policy gradient objective to accommodate our assumption. By leveraging the *k*-level reasoning paradigm from cognitive hierarchy theory [5], we propose a cooperative MARL framework in which naive, nonstrategic agents are improved to sophisticated agents that iteratively reason about the rationality of their teammates for decision-making. Our quantitative results show that InfoPG sets the SOTA performance in learning emergent cooperative behaviors by converging faster and accumulating higher team rewards over several recent prior work.

4 FUTURE WORK: FROM HUMAN EXPERTS TO ROBOT TEAMS

In future work, I intend to investigate efficient ways to incorporate humans’ teaming strategies for robot teams and directly learn team coordination policies from human experts through developing methods for Multi-Agent Learning from Demonstration (MA-LfD). Through LfD, we can enable robot teams to learn humans’ *preferred* way to communicate/collaborate, which may not be optimal but may be more natural. Moreover, LfD methods resolve the reward specification challenges in MARL. Particularly, I intend to tackle:

- (1) How can we teach a team of robots by showing them the preferred way to do a task?
- (2) How can we leverage heterogeneous demonstrations from a group of human experts for teaching a composite robot team to accomplish a shared task (i.e., teaching a robot soccer team, or a *perception-action* robot team)?
- (3) What are the dynamics/logistics required for an effective collaboration between a team of humans and a team of robots in cooperative and mixed-cooperative tasks?

Through conducting rigorous human-subject studies where a group of humans provide collaborative strategies to satisfy a shared objective, we can collect required data for training an MA-LfD architecture generalized for multiple teachers and students, particularly, to learn heterogeneous coordination policies for composite teams.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ian F Akyildiz and Ismail H Kasimoglu. 2004. Wireless sensor and actor networks: research challenges. *Ad hoc networks* 2, 4 (2004), 351–367.
- [2] Matthew J Bays and Thomas A Wettergren. 2015. A solution to the service agent transport problem. In *2015 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 6443–6450.
- [3] Chris Frith and Uta Frith. 2005. Theory of mind. *Current biology* 15, 17 (2005), R644–R645.
- [4] Adam S Goodie, Prashant Doshi, and Diana L Young. 2012. Levels of theory-of-mind reasoning in competitive games. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* 25, 1 (2012), 95–108.
- [5] Teck-Hua Ho and Xuanming Su. 2013. A dynamic level-k model in sequential games. *Management Science* 59, 2 (2013), 452–469.
- [6] Sachin Konan, Esmail Seraj, and Matthew Gombolay. 2022. Iterated Reasoning with Mutual Information in Cooperative and Byzantine Decentralized Teaming. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.08484* (2022).
- [7] Jean MacMillan, Elliot E Entin, and Daniel Serfaty. 2004. Communication overhead: The hidden cost of team cognition. (2004).
- [8] Harish Ravichandar, Kenneth Shaw, and Sonia Chernova. 2020. STRATA: unified framework for task assignments in large teams of heterogeneous agents. (*JAAMAS*) 34, 2 (2020), 38.
- [9] Eduardo Salas, Terry L Dickinson, Sharolyn A Converse, and Scott I Tannenbaum. 1992. Toward an understanding of team performance and training. (1992).
- [10] Esmail Seraj, Vahid Azimi, Chaouki Abdallah, Seth Hutchinson, and Matthew Gombolay. 2021. Adaptive Leader-Follower Control for Multi-Robot Teams with Uncertain Network Structure. In (*ACC 2021*). IEEE, 1088–1094.
- [11] Esmail Seraj, Letian Chen, and Matthew C Gombolay. 2021. A hierarchical coordination framework for joint perception-action tasks in composite robot teams. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics* (2021).
- [12] Esmail Seraj and Matthew Gombolay. 2020. Coordinated control of uavs for human-centered active sensing of wildfires. In *2020 American Control Conference (ACC)*. IEEE, 1845–1852.
- [13] Esmail Seraj, Andrew Silva, and Matthew Gombolay. 2019. Safe coordination of human-robot firefighting teams. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.06847* (2019).
- [14] Esmail Seraj, Zheyuan Wang, Rohan Paleja, Matthew Sklar, Anirudh Patel, and Matthew Gombolay. 2021. Heterogeneous graph attention networks for learning diverse communication. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.09568* (2021).
- [15] Esmail Seraj, Xiyang Wu, and Matthew Gombolay. 2020. FireCommander: An Interactive, Probabilistic Multi-agent Environment for Heterogeneous Robot Teams. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.00165* (2020).