

# Auto2 prover

Bohua Zhan

May 26, 2024

## **Abstract**

Auto2 is a saturation-based heuristic prover for higher-order logic, implemented as a tactic in Isabelle.

This entry contains the instantiation of auto2 for Isabelle/HOL, along with two basic examples: solutions to some of the Pelletier's problems, and elementary number theory of primes.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Pelletier's problems</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Primes</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Basic definition . . . . .	8
3.2	Infinitude of primes . . . . .	10
3.3	Existence and uniqueness of prime factorization . . . . .	10

# 1 Introduction

Auto2 [2] is a proof automation tool implemented in Isabelle. It uses a saturation-based approach to proof search: starting with a list of initial assumptions, it iteratively adds facts that can be derived from these assumptions, with the aim of ultimately deriving a contradiction. Users can add their own proof procedures to auto2 in the form of *proof steps*, in order to implement domain-specific knowledge. Auto2 can be instantiated to both Isabelle/HOL (for ordinary usage) and Isabelle/FOL (for formalization of mathematics based on set theory).

This AFP entry contains the instantiation of auto2 to Isabelle/HOL, and two basic applications:

- Pelletier's problems: solutions to some of the problems in Pelletier's collection of problems for testing automatic theorem provers [1]. Auto2 is not intended to compete with ATPs. In our examples, we merely show how to use the prover to solve some of the problems, sometimes with hints.
- Elementary number theory: theory of prime numbers up to the infinitude of primes and unique factorization. This example follows the development in HOL/Computational\_Algebra/Primes.thy in the Isabelle distribution.

## 2 Pelletier's problems

**theory** *Pelletier*

**imports** *Logic-Thms*

**begin**

**theorem** *p1*:  $(p \longrightarrow q) \longleftrightarrow (\neg q \longrightarrow \neg p)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p2*:  $(\neg\neg p) \longleftrightarrow p$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p3*:  $\neg(p \longrightarrow q) \Longrightarrow q \longrightarrow p$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p4*:  $(\neg p \longrightarrow q) \longleftrightarrow (\neg q \longrightarrow p)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p5*:  $(p \vee q) \longrightarrow (p \vee r) \Longrightarrow p \vee (q \longrightarrow r)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p6*:  $p \vee \neg p$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p7*:  $p \vee \neg\neg\neg p$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem** *p8*:  $((p \longrightarrow q) \longrightarrow p) \Longrightarrow p$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem p9:**  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee \neg q) \implies \neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$  **by auto2**

**theorem p10:**  $q \longrightarrow r \implies r \longrightarrow p \wedge q \implies p \longrightarrow q \vee r \implies p \longleftrightarrow q$  **by auto2**

**theorem p11:**  $p \longleftrightarrow p$  **by auto2**

**theorem p12:**  $((p \longleftrightarrow q) \longleftrightarrow r) \longleftrightarrow (p \longleftrightarrow (q \longleftrightarrow r))$

**@proof**

**@case p**

**@case q**

**@qed**

**theorem p13:**  $p \vee (q \wedge r) \longleftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$  **by auto2**

**theorem p14:**  $(p \longleftrightarrow q) \longleftrightarrow ((q \vee \neg p) \wedge (\neg q \vee p))$  **by auto2**

**theorem p15:**  $(p \longrightarrow q) \longleftrightarrow (\neg p \vee q)$  **by auto2**

**theorem p16:**  $(p \longrightarrow q) \vee (q \longrightarrow p)$  **by auto2**

**theorem p17:**  $(p \wedge (q \longrightarrow r) \longrightarrow s) \longleftrightarrow (\neg p \vee q \vee s) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg r \vee s)$  **by auto2**

**theorem p18:**  $\exists y::'a. \forall x. F(y) \longrightarrow F(x)$

**@proof**

**@case  $\forall x. F(x)$  @with**

**@obtain  $y::'a$  where  $y = y$  @have  $\forall x. F(y) \longrightarrow F(x)$**

**@end**

**@obtain  $y$  where  $\neg F(y)$  @have  $\forall x. F(y) \longrightarrow F(x)$**

**@qed**

**theorem p19:**  $\exists x::'a. \forall y z. (P(y) \longrightarrow Q(z)) \longrightarrow (P(x) \longrightarrow Q(x))$

**@proof**

**@case  $\exists x. P(x) \longrightarrow Q(x)$  @with**

**@obtain  $x$  where  $P(x) \longrightarrow Q(x)$**

**@have  $\forall y z. (P(y) \longrightarrow Q(z)) \longrightarrow (P(x) \longrightarrow Q(x))$**

**@end**

**@obtain  $x::'a$  where  $x = x$**

**@have  $\forall y z. (P(y) \longrightarrow Q(z)) \longrightarrow (P(x) \longrightarrow Q(x))$**

**@qed**

**theorem p20:**  $\forall x y. \exists z. \forall w. P(x) \wedge Q(y) \longrightarrow R(z) \wedge S(w) \implies$

$\exists x y. P(x) \wedge Q(y) \implies \exists z. R(z)$

**@proof**

**@obtain  $x y$  where  $P(x) \wedge Q(y)$**

**@obtain  $z$  where  $\forall w. P(x) \wedge Q(y) \longrightarrow R(z) \wedge S(w)$**

**@qed**

**theorem p21:**  $\exists x. p \longrightarrow F(x) \implies \exists x. F(x) \longrightarrow p \implies \exists x. p \longleftrightarrow F(x)$

**@proof**

**@case**  $p$  **@with** **@obtain**  $x$  **where**  $F(x)$  **@have**  $p \longleftrightarrow F(x)$  **@end**  
**@case**  $\neg p$  **@with** **@obtain**  $x$  **where**  $\neg F(x)$  **@have**  $p \longleftrightarrow F(x)$  **@end**  
**@qed**

**theorem**  $p22$ :  $\forall x::'a. p \longleftrightarrow F(x) \implies p \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. F(x))$   
**@proof**  
**@case**  $p$  **@obtain**  $x::'a$  **where**  $x = x$   
**@qed**

**theorem**  $p23$ :  $(\forall x::'a. p \vee F(x)) \longleftrightarrow (p \vee (\forall x. F(x)))$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem**  $p29$ :  $\exists x. F(x) \implies \exists x. G(x) \implies$   
 $((\forall x. F(x) \longrightarrow H(x)) \wedge (\forall x. G(x) \longrightarrow J(x))) \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall x y. F(x) \wedge G(y) \longrightarrow H(x) \wedge J(y))$   
**@proof**  
**@obtain**  $a b$  **where**  $F(a) G(b)$   
**@case**  $\forall x y. F(x) \wedge G(y) \longrightarrow H(x) \wedge J(y)$  **@with**  
**@have**  $\forall x. F(x) \longrightarrow H(x)$  **@with** **@have**  $F(x) \wedge G(b)$  **@end**  
**@have**  $\forall y. G(y) \longrightarrow J(y)$  **@with** **@have**  $F(a) \wedge G(y)$  **@end**  
**@end**  
**@qed**

**theorem**  $p30$ :  $\forall x. F(x) \vee G(x) \longrightarrow \neg H(x) \implies$   
 $\forall x. (G(x) \longrightarrow \neg I(x)) \longrightarrow F(x) \wedge H(x) \implies \forall x. I(x)$   
**@proof**  
**@have**  $\forall x. I(x)$  **@with** **@case**  $F(x)$  **@end**  
**@qed**

**theorem**  $p31$ :  $\neg(\exists x. F(x) \wedge (G(x) \vee H(x))) \implies \exists x. I(x) \wedge F(x) \implies \forall x. \neg H(x)$   
 $\longrightarrow J(x) \implies$   
 $\exists x. I(x) \wedge J(x)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem**  $p32$ :  $\forall x. (F(x) \wedge (G(x) \vee H(x))) \longrightarrow I(x) \implies \forall x. I(x) \wedge H(x) \longrightarrow$   
 $J(x) \implies$   
 $\forall x. K(x) \longrightarrow H(x) \implies \forall x. F(x) \wedge K(x) \longrightarrow J(x)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem**  $p33$ :  $(\forall x. p(a) \wedge (p(x) \longrightarrow p(b)) \longrightarrow p(c)) \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall x. (\neg p(a) \vee p(x) \vee p(c)) \wedge (\neg p(a) \vee \neg p(b) \vee p(c)))$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem**  $p35$ :  $\exists (x::'a) (y::'b). P(x,y) \longrightarrow (\forall x y. P(x,y))$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem**  $p39$ :  $\neg(\exists x. \forall y. F(y,x) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(y,y))$   
**@proof**  
**@contradiction**  
**@obtain**  $x$  **where**  $\forall y. F(y,x) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(y,y)$   
**@case**  $F(x,x)$   
**@qed**

**theorem p40:**  $\exists y. \forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow F(x,x) \implies \neg(\forall x. \exists y. \forall z. F(z,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(z,x))$

**@proof**

**@obtain**  $A$  **where**  $\forall x. F(x,A) \longleftrightarrow F(x,x)$

**@have**  $\neg(\exists y. \forall z. F(z,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(z,A))$  **@with**

**@have** (**@rule**)  $\forall y. \neg(\forall z. F(z,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(z,A))$  **@with**

**@have**  $\neg(F(y,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(y,A))$  **@with @case**  $F(y,y)$  **@end**

**@end**

**@end**

**@qed**

**theorem p42:**  $\neg(\exists y. \forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists z. F(x,z) \wedge F(z,x)))$

**@proof**

**@contradiction**

**@obtain**  $y$  **where**  $\forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists z. F(x,z) \wedge F(z,x))$

**@case**  $F(y,y)$

**@qed**

**theorem p43:**  $\forall x y. Q(x,y) \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. F(z,x) \longleftrightarrow F(z,y)) \implies$

$\forall x y. Q(x,y) \longleftrightarrow Q(y,x)$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem p47:**

$(\forall x. P1(x) \longrightarrow P0(x)) \wedge (\exists x. P1(x)) \implies$

$(\forall x. P2(x) \longrightarrow P0(x)) \wedge (\exists x. P2(x)) \implies$

$(\forall x. P3(x) \longrightarrow P0(x)) \wedge (\exists x. P3(x)) \implies$

$(\forall x. P4(x) \longrightarrow P0(x)) \wedge (\exists x. P4(x)) \implies$

$(\forall x. P5(x) \longrightarrow P0(x)) \wedge (\exists x. P5(x)) \implies$

$(\exists x. Q1(x)) \wedge (\forall x. Q1(x) \longrightarrow Q0(x)) \implies$

$\forall x. P0(x) \longrightarrow ((\forall y. Q0(y) \longrightarrow R(x,y)) \vee$

$(\forall y. P0(y) \wedge S(y,x) \wedge (\exists z. Q0(z) \wedge R(y,z)) \longrightarrow R(x,y))) \implies$

$\forall x y. P3(y) \wedge (P5(x) \vee P4(x)) \longrightarrow S(x,y) \implies$

$\forall x y. P3(x) \wedge P2(y) \longrightarrow S(x,y) \implies$

$\forall x y. P2(x) \wedge P1(y) \longrightarrow S(x,y) \implies$

$\forall x y. P1(x) \wedge (P2(y) \vee Q1(y)) \longrightarrow \neg R(x,y) \implies$

$\forall x y. P3(x) \wedge P4(y) \longrightarrow R(x,y) \implies$

$\forall x y. P3(x) \wedge P5(y) \longrightarrow \neg R(x,y) \implies$

$\forall x. P4(x) \vee P5(x) \longrightarrow (\exists y. Q0(y) \wedge R(x,y)) \implies$

$\exists x y. P0(x) \wedge P0(y) \wedge (\exists z. Q1(z) \wedge R(y,z) \wedge R(x,y))$

**@proof**

**@obtain**  $x1 x2 x3 x4 x5$  **where**  $P1(x1) P2(x2) P3(x3) P4(x4) P5(x5)$

**@have**  $S(x3,x2)$  **@have**  $S(x2,x1)$  **@have**  $R(x3,x4)$  **@have**  $\neg R(x3,x5)$

**@qed**

**theorem p48:**  $a = b \vee c = d \implies a = c \vee b = d \implies a = d \vee b = c$  **by** *auto2*

**theorem p49:**  $\exists x y. \forall (z::'a). z = x \vee z = y \implies P(a) \wedge P(b) \implies (a::'a) \neq b \implies$

$\forall x. P(x)$

**@proof**

**@obtain**  $x y$  **where**  $\forall (z::'a). z = x \vee z = y$

**@have**  $x = a \vee x = b$

**@have**  $\forall c. P(c)$  **@with** **@have**  $c = a \vee c = b$  **@end**  
**@qed**

**theorem p50:**  $\forall x. F(a,x) \vee (\forall y. F(x,y)) \implies \exists x. \forall y. F(x,y)$   
**@proof**  
**@case**  $\forall y. F(a,y)$   
**@obtain**  $y$  **where**  $\neg F(a,y)$   
**@have** (**@rule**)  $\forall z. F(a,y) \vee F(y,z)$   
**@qed**

**theorem p51:**  $\exists z w. \forall x y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z \wedge y = w \implies$   
 $\exists z. \forall x. (\exists w. \forall y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow y = w) \longleftrightarrow x = z$   
**@proof**  
**@obtain**  $z w$  **where**  $\forall x y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z \wedge y = w$   
**@have**  $\forall x. (\exists w. \forall y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow y = w) \longleftrightarrow x = z$  **@with**  
**@case**  $x = z$  **@with** **@have**  $\forall y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow y = w$  **@end**  
**@end**  
**@qed**

**theorem p52:**  $\exists z w. \forall x y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z \wedge y = w \implies$   
 $\exists w. \forall y. (\exists z. \forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z) \longleftrightarrow y = w$   
**@proof**  
**@obtain**  $z w$  **where**  $\forall x y. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z \wedge y = w$   
**@have**  $\forall y. (\exists z. \forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z) \longleftrightarrow y = w$  **@with**  
**@case**  $y = w$  **@with** **@have**  $\forall x. F(x,y) \longleftrightarrow x = z$  **@end**  
**@end**  
**@qed**

**theorem p55:**  
 $\exists x. L(x) \wedge K(x,a) \implies$   
 $L(a) \wedge L(b) \wedge L(c) \implies$   
 $\forall x. L(x) \longrightarrow x = a \vee x = b \vee x = c \implies$   
 $\forall y x. K(x,y) \longrightarrow H(x,y) \implies$   
 $\forall x y. K(x,y) \longrightarrow \neg R(x,y) \implies$   
 $\forall x. H(a,x) \longrightarrow \neg H(c,x) \implies$   
 $\forall x. x \neq b \longrightarrow H(a,x) \implies$   
 $\forall x. \neg R(x,a) \longrightarrow H(b,x) \implies$   
 $\forall x. H(a,x) \longrightarrow H(b,x) \implies$  — typo in text  
 $\forall x. \exists y. \neg H(x,y) \implies$   
 $a \neq b \implies$   
 $K(a,a)$   
**@proof**  
**@case**  $K(b,a)$  **@with** **@have**  $\forall x. H(b,x)$  **@end**  
**@qed**

**theorem p56:**  $(\forall x. (\exists y. F(y) \wedge x = f(y)) \longrightarrow F(x)) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. F(x) \longrightarrow F(f(x)))$   
**by** *auto2*

**theorem p57:**  $F(f(a,b),f(b,c)) \implies F(f(b,c),f(a,c)) \implies$

```

     $\forall x y z. F(x,y) \wedge F(y,z) \longrightarrow F(x,z) \Longrightarrow F(f(a,b),f(a,c))$  by auto2

theorem p58:  $\forall x y. f(x) = g(y) \Longrightarrow \forall x y. f(f(x)) = f(g(y))$ 
@proof
  @have  $\forall x y. f(f(x)) = f(g(y))$  @with
    @have  $f(x) = g(y)$ 
  @end
@qed

theorem p59:  $\forall x::'a. F(x) \longleftrightarrow \neg F(f(x)) \Longrightarrow \exists x. F(x) \wedge \neg F(f(x))$ 
@proof
  @obtain  $x::'a$  where  $x = x$  @case  $F(x)$ 
@qed

theorem p60:  $\forall x. F(x,f(x)) \longleftrightarrow (\exists y. (\forall z. F(z,y) \longrightarrow F(z,f(x))) \wedge F(x,y))$  by
auto2

theorem p61:  $\forall x y z. f(x,f(y,z)) = f(f(x,y),z) \Longrightarrow \forall x y z w. f(x,f(y,f(z,w))) =$ 
 $f(f(f(x,y),z),w)$ 
by auto2

end

```

### 3 Primes

```

theory Primes-Ex
  imports Auto2-Main
begin

```

#### 3.1 Basic definition

```

definition prime :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where [rewrite]:
  prime  $p = (1 < p \wedge (\forall m. m \text{ dvd } p \longrightarrow m = 1 \vee m = p))$ 

lemma primeD1 [forward]: prime  $p \Longrightarrow 1 < p$  by auto2
lemma primeD2: prime  $p \Longrightarrow m \text{ dvd } p \Longrightarrow m = 1 \vee m = p$  by auto2
setup  $\langle \text{add-forward-prfststep-cond } @\{ \text{thm } \textit{primeD2} \} [\text{with-cond } ?m \neq 1, \text{with-cond } ?m \neq ?p] \rangle$ 
setup  $\langle \text{del-prfststep-thm-egforward } @\{ \text{thm } \textit{prime-def} \} \rangle$ 

```

```

theorem exists-prime [resolve]:  $\exists p. \textit{prime } p$ 
@proof @have prime 2 @qed

```

```

lemma prime-odd-nat: prime  $p \Longrightarrow p > 2 \Longrightarrow \textit{odd } p$  by auto2

```

```

lemma prime-imp-coprime-nat [backward2]: prime  $p \Longrightarrow \neg p \text{ dvd } n \Longrightarrow \textit{coprime } p n$  by auto2

```



**lemma** *prime-dvd-mult-nat*:  $\text{prime } p \implies p \text{ dvd } m * n \implies p \text{ dvd } m \vee p \text{ dvd } n$  **by** *auto2*

**setup**  $\langle \text{add-forward-prfststep-cond } @\{\text{thm } \text{prime-dvd-mult-nat}\}$   
 $(\text{with-conds } [?m \neq ?p, ?n \neq ?p, ?m \neq ?p * ?m', ?n \neq ?p * ?n']) \rangle$

**theorem** *prime-dvd-intro*:  $\text{prime } p \implies p * q = m * n \implies p \text{ dvd } m \vee p \text{ dvd } n$

**@proof @have**  $p \text{ dvd } m * n$  **@qed**

**setup**  $\langle \text{add-forward-prfststep-cond } @\{\text{thm } \text{prime-dvd-intro}\}$   
 $(\text{with-conds } [?m \neq ?p, ?n \neq ?p, ?m \neq ?p * ?m', ?n \neq ?p * ?n']) \rangle$

**lemma** *prime-dvd-mult-eq-nat*:  $\text{prime } p \implies p \text{ dvd } m * n = (p \text{ dvd } m \vee p \text{ dvd } n)$   
**by** *auto2*

**lemma** *not-prime-eq-prod-nat* [*backward1*]:  $n > 1 \implies \neg \text{prime } n \implies$   
 $\exists m k. n = m * k \wedge 1 < m \wedge m < n \wedge 1 < k \wedge k < n$

**@proof**

**@obtain**  $m$  **where**  $m \text{ dvd } n \wedge m \neq 1 \wedge m \neq n$

**@obtain**  $k$  **where**  $n = m * k$  **@have**  $m \leq m * k$  **@have**  $k \leq m * k$

**@qed**

**lemma** *prime-dvd-power-nat*:  $\text{prime } p \implies p \text{ dvd } x^{\wedge n} \implies p \text{ dvd } x$  **by** *auto2*

**setup**  $\langle \text{add-forward-prfststep-cond } @\{\text{thm } \text{prime-dvd-power-nat}\} [\text{with-cond } ?p \neq$   
 $?x] \rangle$

**lemma** *prime-dvd-power-nat-iff*:  $\text{prime } p \implies n > 0 \implies p \text{ dvd } x^{\wedge n} \longleftrightarrow p \text{ dvd } x$   
**by** *auto2*

**lemma** *prime-nat-code*:  $\text{prime } p = (1 < p \wedge (\forall x. 1 < x \wedge x < p \longrightarrow \neg x \text{ dvd } p))$   
**by** *auto2*

**lemma** *prime-factor-nat* [*backward*]:  $n \neq 1 \implies \exists p. p \text{ dvd } n \wedge \text{prime } p$

**@proof**

**@strong-induct**  $n$

**@case** *prime*  $n$  **@case**  $n = 0$

**@obtain**  $k$  **where**  $k \neq 1 \wedge k \neq n \wedge k \text{ dvd } n$

**@apply-induct-hyp**  $k$

**@qed**

**lemma** *prime-divprod-pow-nat*:

$\text{prime } p \implies \text{coprime } a b \implies p^{\wedge n} \text{ dvd } a * b \implies p^{\wedge n} \text{ dvd } a \vee p^{\wedge n} \text{ dvd } b$  **by** *auto2*

**lemma** *prime-product* [*forward*]:  $\text{prime } (p * q) \implies p = 1 \vee q = 1$

**@proof @have**  $p \text{ dvd } q * p$  **@qed**

**lemma** *prime-exp*:  $\text{prime } (p^{\wedge n}) \longleftrightarrow n = 1 \wedge \text{prime } p$  **by** *auto2*

**lemma** *prime-power-mult*:  $\text{prime } p \implies x * y = p^{\wedge k} \implies \exists i j. x = p^{\wedge i} \wedge y =$   
 $p^{\wedge j}$

**@proof**

```

@induct k arbitrary x y @with
  @subgoal k = Suc k'
    @case p dvd x @with
      @obtain x' where x = p * x' @have x * y = p * (x' * y)
      @obtain i j where x' = p ^ i y = p ^ j @have x = p ^ Suc i @end
    @case p dvd y @with
      @obtain y' where y = p * y' @have x * y = p * (x * y')
      @obtain i j where x = p ^ i y' = p ^ j @have y = p ^ Suc j @end
    @endgoal
  @end
@qed

```

### 3.2 Infinitude of primes

**theorem** *bigger-prime* [resolve]:  $\exists p. \text{prime } p \wedge n < p$

```

@proof
  @obtain p where prime p p dvd fact n + 1
  @case n ≥ p @with @have (p::nat) dvd fact n @end
@qed

```

**theorem** *primes-infinite*:  $\neg \text{finite } \{p. \text{prime } p\}$

```

@proof
  @obtain b where prime b Max {p. prime p} < b
@qed

```

### 3.3 Existence and uniqueness of prime factorization

**theorem** *factorization-exists*:  $n > 0 \implies \exists M. (\forall p \in \#M. \text{prime } p) \wedge n = (\prod i \in \#M. i)$

```

@proof
  @strong-induct n
  @case n = 1 @with @have n = (∏ i ∈ # {#}. i) @end
  @case prime n @with @have n = (∏ i ∈ # {#n#}. i) @end
  @obtain m k where n = m * k 1 < m m < n 1 < k k < n
  @apply-induct-hyp m
  @obtain M where (∀ p ∈ #M. prime p) m = (∏ i ∈ #M. i)
  @apply-induct-hyp k
  @obtain K where (∀ p ∈ #K. prime p) k = (∏ i ∈ #K. i)
  @have n = (∏ i ∈ #(M+K). i)
@qed

```

**theorem** *prime-dvd-multiset* [backward1]:  $\text{prime } p \implies p \text{ dvd } (\prod i \in \#M. i) \implies \exists n. n \in \#M \wedge p \text{ dvd } n$

```

@proof
  @strong-induct M
  @case M = {#}
  @obtain M' m where M = M' + {#m#}
  @contradiction @apply-induct-hyp M'
@qed

```

**theorem** *factorization-unique-aux*:  
 $\forall p \in \#M. \text{prime } p \implies \forall p \in \#N. \text{prime } p \implies (\prod_{i \in \#M} i) \text{ dvd } (\prod_{i \in \#N} i) \implies M \subseteq \# N$   
**@proof**  
**@strong-induct** *M arbitrary N*  
**@case**  $M = \{\#\}$   
**@obtain**  $M' m$  **where**  $M = M' + \{\#m\}$   
**@have**  $m \text{ dvd } (\prod_{i \in \#M} i)$   
**@obtain**  $n$  **where**  $n \in \# N$   $m \text{ dvd } n$   
**@obtain**  $N'$  **where**  $N = N' + \{\#n\}$   
**@have**  $m = n$   
**@have**  $(\prod_{i \in \#M'} i) \text{ dvd } (\prod_{i \in \#N'} i)$   
**@apply-induct-hyp**  $M' N'$   
**@qed**  
**setup**  $\langle \text{add-forward-prfstep-cond } @\{ \text{thm factorization-unique-aux} \} [\text{with-cond } ?M \neq ?N] \rangle$

**theorem** *factorization-unique*:  
 $\forall p \in \#M. \text{prime } p \implies \forall p \in \#N. \text{prime } p \implies (\prod_{i \in \#M} i) = (\prod_{i \in \#N} i) \implies M = N$   
**@proof** **@have**  $M \subseteq \# N$  **@qed**  
**setup**  $\langle \text{del-prfstep-thm } @\{ \text{thm factorization-unique-aux} \} \rangle$

**end**

## References

- [1] F. J. Pelletier. Seventy-five problems for testing automatic theorem provers. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 2:191–216, 1986.
- [2] B. Zhan. Auto2: a saturation-based heuristic prover for higher-order logic. In J. C. Blanchette and S. Merz, editors, *ITP 2016*, pages 441–456, 2016.